

COALITIONS

IF (2) APPEARS ABOVE, SELECT (2) TO VIEW ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS IN THAT SECTION.

OUTPUT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

#	Output Measure	Definition	Reporting Format
1	AMOUNT OF EUDL FUNDS USED DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD TOWARD COALITIONS ACTIVITIES	The intent of the question is to provide OJJDP with an estimate of the amount of the award expended on activities that fall under program category Coalitions. The amount will represent Coalitions activities for the 6-month period. At the end of the award's project period, each reporting period's used amount (from all program categories) should add up to the total amount of the award.	Enter the amount of funds used during the reporting period for Coalitions activities
2	NUMBER OF YOUTH INVOLVED IN TASK FORCE ACTIVITIES DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD (I.E., THE TOTAL NUMBER OF UNIQUE INDIVIDUALS ACROSS ALL ACTIVITIES)	Total number of youth participating in EUDL task force activities during the reporting period. The total number of youth will include the number of unique individuals across all activities. Program records are the preferred source of data.	Total number of youth involved in EUDL task force activities during the reporting period
3	NUMBER OF YOUTH INVOLVED IN TASK FORCE AND/OR COALITION LEADERSHIP ACTIVITIES DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD	Total number of youth participating in EUDL task force and/or leadership activities during the reporting period. Program records are the preferred source of data.	Total number of youth involved in EUDL task force and/or leadership activities during the reporting period
3a	FOR THOSE YOUTH INVOLVED IN TASK FORCE AND/OR COALITION LEADERSHIP ACTIVITIES, INDICATE EACH OF THE ACTIVITIES IN WHICH YOUTH PARTICIPATED	Select as many as apply from the list.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Educational work with schools and colleges B. Educational work with government officials C. Educational work with businesses and community members/groups D. Work with law enforcement as youth advisors, operatives, and/or participants in an enforcement task force E. Prevention Programming F. Serve on task force and/or coalition boards or committees G. Participation in media advocacy-related activities (i.e., print media, events that draw media coverage, radio or television appearances) H. Other

4	NUMBER OF YOUTH INVOLVED IN UNDERAGE DRINKING ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD	Total number of youth participating in EUDL underage drinking ENFORCEMENT activities during the reporting period. Program records are the preferred source of data.	Number of youth involved in EUDL underage drinking ENFORCEMENT activities during the reporting period.
4a	FOR THOSE YOUTH INVOLVED IN UNDERAGE DRINKING ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES, INDICATE EACH OF THE ACTIVITIES IN WHICH YOUTH PARTICIPATED	Select as many as apply from the list.	A. Compliance Checks B. Control Party Dispersal Operations C. Shoulder Tap Operations D. Impaired Driving with a Focus on Youth E. Sobriety Checkpoints F. Other
5	NUMBER OF YOUTH INVOLVED IN OTHER (NON-TASK FORCE/COALITION-RELATED) UNDERAGE DRINKING ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES	Total number of youth participating in other EUDL (non-EUDL task force/coalition-related) underage drinking enforcement activities during the reporting period. Program records are the preferred source of data.	Number of youth involved in OTHER (non-EUDL task force/coalition-related) underage drinking enforcement activities
5a	FOR THOSE YOUTH INVOLVED IN OTHER (NON-TASK FORCE/COALITION-RELATED) UNDERAGE DRINKING ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES, INDICATE EACH OF THE ACTIVITIES IN WHICH YOUTH PARTICIPATED	Select as many as apply from the list.	A. Education campaigns B. Community fairs C. Other
6	NUMBER AND PERCENT OF PROGRAMS USING EVIDENCE-BASED STRATEGIES	<p>The number and percent of programs funded by the EUDL using evidence-based strategies. For the EUDL program, evidence-based strategies are those that have been shown, through rigorous evaluation and replication, to be effective at preventing or reducing underage drinking. Examples of these can be found on pages 26 through 30 of the following publication and are generally indicated by a classification of "high priority":</p> <p>http://www.udetc.org/documents/strategies.pdf</p> <p>Compliance checks are a high-priority strategy, whereas Cops in Shops is a low-priority strategy based on research that indicates their respective effectiveness. Evidence-based strategies for EUDL typically fall under four categories: 1) limits on access to alcohol; 2) a community culture against underage drinking; 3) strategies to reduce underage drinking and driving; and 4) school- and youth organization-based strategies.</p>	A. Number of programs funded using evidence-based strategies B. Total number of programs funded C. Percent (A/B)

7	NUMBER OF AGENCIES INVOLVED IN TASK FORCE AND/OR COALITION ACTIVITIES THAT SUPPORT UNDERAGE DRINKING PREVENTION AND/OR ENFORCEMENT OF UNDERAGE DRINKING LAWS DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD	Number of agencies involved in EUDL task force and/or coalition activities that support underage drinking prevention and/or enforcement of underage drinking laws during the reporting period. Program records are the preferred source of data.	Total number of agencies involved in EUDL task force and/or coalition activities that support underage drinking prevention and/or enforcement of underage drinking laws during the reporting period
7a	INDICATE EACH OF THE ORGANIZATION TYPES INVOLVED IN TASK FORCE AND/OR COALITION ACTIVITIES	Select as many as apply from the list.	A. Advocacy Organizations B. Business Groups/Associations C. City Government/County Government D. Community-based Organizations E. Court Services F. Criminal Justice Department G. Department of Children and Family Services H. Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services I. Department of Substance Abuse Services J. District Attorney's Office K. Faith Community/Faith-based Organizations L. Federal Enforcement Agency M. Fish and Wildlife Division N. Foundations O. General Public P. Governor's Office Q. Health and Human Services R. Higher Education S. Liquor Law Enforcement (ABC, Liquor Control) T. MADD U. Media Affiliations V. Medical Affiliations W. Police Department (Municipal or local enforcement) X. Office of Public Safety Y. Office of Traffic Safety Z. Parent Associations AA. Prevention Services

			BB. Professional Organizations CC. Secondary Education DD. Sheriff's Department EE. Social Service Agency FF. State Police (Highway Patrol) GG. Youth Organizations HH. Other
8	NUMBER AND PERCENT OF TASK FORCES AND/OR COALITIONS ADDRESSING UNDERAGE DRINKING ISSUES IN YOUR STATE THAT WERE CREATED AS A RESULT OF EUDL FUNDING	Indicate total number and percent of task forces and/or coalitions addressing underage drinking issues in your state that were created as a result of EUDL funding.	A. Number of task forces and/or coalitions created AS A RESULT OF EUDL funding B. Number of task forces and/or coalitions in existence BEFORE EUDL funding C. Percent of existing task forces and/or coalitions in your State D. Total number of task forces and/or coalitions
9	DOES YOUR STATE HAVE AN ACTIVE STATE-LEVEL TASK FORCE DEDICATED TO UNDERAGE DRINKING PREVENTION/ENFORCEMENT CREATED AS A RESULT OF EUDL FUNDING?	Indicate if your State has an active state-level task force dedicated to underage drinking prevention/enforcement.	Select yes or no
9a	INDICATE THE ORGANIZATION(S) THAT HEADS THE STATE-LEVEL TASK FORCE DEDICATED TO		A. Advocacy Organizations B. Business Groups/Association C. City Government/County Government D. Community-based Organizations E. Court Service F. Criminal Justice Department G. Department of Children and Family Service H. Department of Mental Health and Addiction Service I. Department of Substance Abuse Service J. District Attorney's Office K. Faith Community/Faith-based Organizations L. Federal Enforcement Agency M. Fish and Wildlife Division

	<p>UNDERAGE DRINKING PREVENTION/ENFORCEMENT (SELECT UP TO TWO).</p>		<p>N. Foundation O. Governor's Office P. Health and Human Service Agency Q. Higher Education R. Liquor Law Enforcement (ABC, Liquor Control) S. MADD T. Police Department (municipal or local enforcement) U. Office of Public Safety V. Office of Traffic Safety W. Parent Association X. Prevention Service Agency Y. Secondary Education Institution Z. Sheriff's Department AA. Social Service Agency BB. State Police (Highway Patrol) CC. Other</p>
--	--	--	---

COALITIONS

IF (2) APPEARS ABOVE, SELECT (2) TO VIEW ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS IN THAT SECTION.

OUTCOME PERFORMANCE MEASURES

#	Outcome Measure	Definition	Reporting Format	Reporting Term	
				SHORT	LONG
10	NUMBER OF LOCAL COORDINATORS IN YOUR STATE THAT LEAD LOCAL COALITION/TASK FORCE EFFORTS DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD	Number of local coordinators in your state that lead local coalition/task force efforts during the reporting period. Local coordinators are individuals employed by various agencies to plan, implement, and oversee projects funded by EUDL grants from the State agency that receives the funds directly from OJJDP.	Number of local coordinators in your state that lead local coalition/task force efforts during the reporting period	X	
11	NUMBER OF POLICIES OR PROCEDURES RELATED TO UNDERAGE DRINKING THAT WERE CREATED, CHANGED, OR RESCINDED DURING THE REPORTING PERIOD?	The number of policies or procedures created, changed, or rescinded during the reporting period. A policy is a plan or specific course of action that guides the general goals and directives of the program or agency. Include policies that are either relevant to the topic area of the program or policies that affect program operations.	A. Number of policies or procedures related to underage drinking that were created B. Number of policies or procedures related to underage drinking that were changed C. Number of policies or procedures related to underage drinking that were rescinded	X	

EUDL PERFORMANCE MEASURE KEY

Short-Term = Occurs during or by the end of the program
 Long-Term = Occurs 6 months to 1 year after program completion
 Annual Term = Occurs once a year

BOLD = Mandatory measure